

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

• 5N

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO	
10/010,983	12/06/2001	Christopher M. Benson	9902	9424	
26884	7590 12/05/2003		EXAMINER		
PAUL W. M		KRAMER, JAMES A			
LAW DEPARTMENT, WHQ-5E 1700 S. PATTERSON BLVD.			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
DAYTON, OH 45479-0001			3627		
			DATE MAILED: 12/05/200	DATE MAILED: 12/05/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

<u> </u>	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	·					
Office Action Summany	10/010,983	BENSON, CHRISTOPHER M.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
TI MAII NO DATE CIL	James A. Kramer	3627				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u></u> .•					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ Thi	is action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
,—	Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the application.					
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are rejected.						
, , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	Claim(s) is/are objected to.					
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or Application Papers	r election requirement.					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner	r					
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a) approved b) disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received.					
2. Certified copies of the priority documents	s have been received in Applicati	on No				
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International But</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list</li> </ul>	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	-				
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic	c priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(	e) (to a provisional application).				
<ul> <li>a)  The translation of the foreign language pro</li> <li>15)  Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesting</li> </ul>	• •					
Attachment(s)						
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s)	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/010,983

Art Unit: 3627

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 1-5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Freedman et al. in view of Fernandes et al.

Freedman et al. teaches a scheduler for a multiple computer system. Within the background of the invention Freedman et al. teaches a master-slave concept in which several computers are coordinated through a master control. The master designates which tasks are executed by the individual computers (operating a control processor to subdivide a bulk data set into subdivisions of data; operating the control processor to send the subdivisions of data to a plurality of processors connected to the control processors via network; and operating the processors of the plurality of terminals to process the data) (column 1; lines 38-44).

Freedman et al. further teaches a task selection on each computer is performed by a Scheduler on a priority basis. When a given computer needs to select a task (is substantially idle; claim 3) the computer scans the task status information. Examiner notes that this teaching is consistent with interrupting the data processing, if a computer needs to process a transaction that has higher priority (claim 5).

The system of Freedman et al. does not specifically teach that the network of terminals as point-of-sale terminals. Fernandes et al. teaches retail operations utilize a plurality of point-of-

Art Unit: 3627

sale terminals coupled to a central computer. It would have been obvious to a person of ordinary

skill in the art at the time the invention was made to modify the teachings of Freedman et al. by

further specifying that the network of terminals be point-of-sale terminals, in order for retail

operations to leverage their existing hardware when performing large computing tasks.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to James A. Kramer whose telephone number is (703) 305-5241.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday (8AM - 5PM).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, Richard Chilcot can be reached on (703) 305-4716. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding

should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

James A. Kramer

Examiner

Art Unit 3627

JAK

Michard Chilco

maneisory Patent Examinat

2